REMARKS

Claim 9 has been amended to obviate the §112 rejection. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended to clarify the invention and to better define the invention over the prior art.

The Examiner objects to the drawing because he claims the drawings do not show a plurality of transmitters and/or receivers. However, FIG. 3 shows a plurality of receivers 104-1, 104-2, 104-3, 104-n and an infant bassinet 308 having a transmitter 102. Therefore, the objection is believed to be improper because the drawings do show a plurality of transmitters and/or receivers.

Turing to the art rejections, the rejection of claims 1-3, 5, 8-11, 16 and 19-20 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray et al. (US Patent 5,086,290) (newly applied) in view of Davies (US Patent 4,924,211) (newly applied) is in error. Independent claims 1 and 11, as amended, require "programmable memory for storing said unique reference code, capable of holding information regarding the location of said receiver, and ...". Neither reference teaches this feature. Murray, as the Examiner admits, does not teach any programmable memory. Davies does not provide the missing teachings. Davies teaches a device that latches a 4-digit trinary code but is not capable of holding any data except the 4-digit trinary code. Column 7, line 67 through Column 8 line 24. Thus, no combination of Murray et al. and Davies can achieve or render obvious independent claims 1 and 11, or the several claims dependent thereon.

The rejection by the Examiner of claims 12-14 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray et al. in view of Davies (US Patent 4,924,211) in further view of

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C. 130 W. CUSHING STREET TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101 TEL. 603.668.1400 FAX. 603.668.8567

Serial No. 09/883,703 Docket No. SCP 00.01 Amendment E

Radomsky et al. (US Patent 6,211,790) is in error. Claims 12-14, are dependent on claim 11, and thus include the same requirement of "programmable memory for storing said unique reference code, capable of holding information regarding the location of said receiver, and...," (35 USC § 112). The deficiencies of the combination of Murray et al. and Davies vis-à-vis claim 11 are discussed above. Radomsky et al. does not provide the missing teachings. Thus, neither claim 11 nor claims 12-14 which depend thereon can be said to be taught by or obvious from the combination of Murray et al., Davies, and Radomsky.

Having dealt with all the objections raised by the Examiner, the Application is believed to be in order for allowance. Early and favorable action are respectfully requested.

In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them (or credit any overpayment) to our Deposit Account Number 08-1391.

Respectfully submitted,

Norman P. Soloway Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 24,315

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENTS, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

October 4, 2004, at Tucson, Arizona.

NPS/WPO:lv/sb

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C. 130 W. CUSHING STREET TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101 TEL. 603.668.1400 FAX. 603.668.8567